

# Patients urged to check packs of Targocid 200mg after two batches found to be contaminated

Two batches of Targocid 200mg powder for solution for injection/infusion or oral solution are being recalled by Sanofi UK after they were found to contain high levels of bacterial endotoxins, a toxic compound found in bacterial cell walls that can cause inflammation-related symptoms, high fever and, in very serious cases, septic shock.

The issue was detected when four patients experienced high fevers approximately three hours after being given a dose from one of the affected batches, with the batch numbers 0J25D1 and 0J25D2.

The Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) is urging patients who have been prescribed Targocid 200mg powder to use at home (via intravenous injection/infusion or by preparing the solution for oral administration) to check the batch number and expiry date (30/04/2023) on the labels of their medicine to see if their medicine is affected. If so, they should stop using the medicine and seek immediate medical advice from a healthcare professional.

Healthcare professionals have been asked to contact patients who are using this medicine and urgently arrange for a new prescription. Patients using Targocid 200mg who have experienced any of the symptoms listed in [recall notification](#) should immediately seek medical assistance

Targocid is an antibiotic used to treat a wide range of infections. It contains a medicine called 'teicoplanin' and works by killing the bacteria that cause infections in the body. For most patients this product is administered by healthcare professionals directly in hospitals, but for some patients it is prescribed to use at home. Patients using generic brands of this product and unaffected batches of Targocid do not need to be concerned.

**Dr Alison Cave, MHRA Chief Safety Officer, said:**

Patient safety is always our priority. It is vitally important that you check the labels of your Targocid 200mg powder and if the batch number is 0J25D1 or 0J25D2, you should stop using the medicine and seek immediate medical advice from a healthcare professional. If you are unsure which batch you have been given to use at home, please seek medical advice.

Your healthcare professional will arrange a new prescription for you and answer any questions you may have.

If you are using Targocid 200mg powder and experience any new symptoms, seek medical assistance or visit the nearest accident and

emergency centre. Please report any adverse reactions via the [Yellow Card scheme website](#).

We have taken prompt action to ensure affected batches will no longer be given to patients. Other products containing teicoplanin have not been affected by this recall.

General symptoms that patients should be looking for include fever or low body temperature; chills and shivering; cold, clammy and pale or mottled skin; a fast heartbeat; fast breathing; severe breathlessness; severe muscle pain; feeling dizzy or faint; a change in mental state – such as confusion or disorientation; loss of consciousness; slurred speech; nausea and vomiting; diarrhoea and feeling increasingly unwell.

For details on the batch numbers affected, please see the [recall notification](#).

## Notes to Editors

---

# September 2022 Transaction Data

## News story

This data provides information about the number and types of applications that HM Land Registry completed in September 2022.



Image credit: NicoElNino/Shutterstock.com

Please note this data shows what HM Land Registry has been able to process during the time period covered and is not necessarily a reflection of market activity.

In September:

- HM Land Registry completed more than 1,858,990 applications to change or query the Land Register
- the South East topped the table of regional applications with 433,539

HM Land Registry completed 1,858,997 applications in September compared with 1,926,517 in August and 1,659,680 last September 2021, of which:

- 344,290 were applications for register updates compared with 363,448 in August
- 987,206 were applications for an official copy of a register compared with 1,025,643 in August
- 252,254 were search and hold queries (official searches) compared with 249,582 in August
- 16,285 were postal applications from non-account holders compared with 18,238 in August

## Applications by region and country

Region/country	July applications	August applications	September applications
South East	444,212	445,874	433,539
Greater London	341,840	347,679	339,112
North West	217,498	229,211	212,493
South West	188,241	188,943	181,976
West Midlands	160,208	160,791	152,981
Yorkshire and the Humber	149,387	151,191	148,471
East Midlands	137,248	137,921	133,880
North	91,801	94,197	90,738
East Anglia	79,497	81,525	79,689
Isles of Scilly	48	38	231
Wales	84,723	89,027	85,752
England and Wales (not assigned)	104	120	135
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,894,807</b>	<b>1,926,517</b>	<b>1,858,997</b>

## Top 5 local authority areas

### September 2022 applications

#### Top 5 Local authority areas September applications

Birmingham	26,513
City of Westminster	21,247
Leeds	21,157
Cornwall	19,412
Buckinghamshire	19,219

## August 2022 applications

### Top 5 local authority areas August applications

Birmingham	27,221
City of Westminster	22,644
Leeds	22,013
Buckinghamshire	20,379
Cornwall	20,236

## Top 5 customers

### September 2022 applications

Top 5 customers	September Applications
Infotrack Limited	136,074
Enact	50,729
O'Neill Patient	33,785
Optima Legal Services	28,388
Land Technologies Ltd	22,265

### August 2022 applications

Top 5 customers	August applications
Infotrack Limited	146,535
Enact	47,435
O'Neill Patient	30,317
Optima Legal Services	26,980
TM Group (UK) Ltd (Search Choice)	22,154

[Access the full dataset on our Use land and property data service.](#)

## Next publication

Transaction Data is published on the 15th working day of each month.  
The October data will be published at 11am on Monday 21 November 2022.

Published 21 October 2022

---

[HM Coastguard launches film to](#)

# highlight the hidden dangers of the coast

## Coast Clever

HM Coastguard launches a new film featuring Akinfenwa, to highlight the hidden dangers of the coast and urging the public to stay “Coast Clever” ahead of half term. HM Coastguard is called to around 36,000 incidents each year – with peak times happening in the summer, bank holidays and half term time. This figure has increased by 50% in 4 years, with 24,000 incidents reported in 2018.

The campaign comes on the back of a survey commissioned by HM Coastguard that shows that although 72% of people know that they should check tide times before they set out for a walk at the coast, only 33% claim that they do, highlighting the difference between knowing and doing.

The film features Adebayo “The Beast” Akinfenwa, as he shows the viewers some of the most common pitfalls around the coast – the cliffs, the mud and the tides. Widely known for his physique, and being football’s strongest man, Akinfenwa highlights how even the strongest people cannot underestimate the coast.

In the film, HM Coastguard asks people to be prepared before going to the coast to ensure they can peacefully enjoy their time. When going to the coast everyone should:

- stay away from cliff edges and bases
- check tide times before setting out
- avoid mud
- in case of emergency at the coast call 999 and ask for Coastguard

The survey also found that if respondents became stuck in mud at the coast, 28% of people would try to get someone else to pull them out while 24% would stay still to see if it lets you out and 13% would wriggle around to try and get out. HM Coastguard advises to try and spread your weight if you get stuck in the mud and call 999 for the Coastguard.

Commenting on the “Coast Clever” campaign Claire Hughes, Director of His Majesty’s Coastguard said:

Everyone enjoys a bit of time at the coast by the seaside. This half term, we want to remind Brits to enjoy their time at the coast as safely as possible. While many of us know to take precautions when going to the coast, our recent survey shows this is not necessarily always practiced. Our campaign ‘Coast Clever’ is a great reminder for people to check tide times, stay away from cliff edges and avoid the mud. But if you are in an emergency, call 999 and ask for the Coastguard.

Adebayo “The Beast” Akinfenwa, professional footballer and presenter, commented on his involvement:

I’m known as football’s strongest man but sometimes being the strongest isn’t enough. Out on the UK coast, it’s about being prepared, careful and diligent. We all love a bit of a chill time by the coast, but I want to encourage people to be safe out there. The coast can tackle even the strongest opponent – take it to from , ‘The Beast’.

Taking the time to prepare goes a long way, so let’s not underestimate the coast. By being clever – we can all enjoy the coast for a nice long time.

To find out more, search “coastal safety”.

---

## [Glasgow property director given 12 year disqualification](#)

Brendan Michael Gaughan, 40, from Glasgow has been disqualified as a director for 12 years, after using his companies to take out Bounce Back Loans totalling £135,000 that the companies were not eligible for.

Gaughan was director of three separate property management companies, Gaughan Group Ltd, Gaughan Property Ltd, and Rentl Property Ltd. They were only incorporated in February 2020 and did no business until April 2020.

As a result, they were not eligible for funds through the Bounce Back Loan (BBL) scheme, which was available only to firms that had been doing business on 1 March 2020.

However in May 2020, Gaughan Group received a BBL of £50,000, Gaughan Property received a BBL also of £50,000, and Rentl Property Ltd received a BBL of £35,000.

Gaughan transferred all the funds into a single account and proceeded to use the money to buy a property worth nearly £160,000 in August 2020. He then sold the property in March 2021 for just over £140,000, and on the same day transferred £100,000 of the proceeds to his personal account.

All three companies were put into liquidation on 11 October 2021, which triggered an investigation by the Insolvency Service.

The Secretary of State accepted disqualification undertakings from Brendan Michael Gaughan, after he did not dispute that none of his companies had been

eligible for Bounce Back Loans. He has been banned for 12 years, effective from 27 October 2022.

The disqualification undertakings prevent him from directly, or indirectly, becoming involved in the promotion, formation or management of a company, without the permission of the court.

Steven McGinty, Investigation Manager at the Insolvency Service said:

Bounce Back Loans were made available for trading companies adversely affected by the pandemic. Brendan Gaughan should have known his companies weren't entitled to the loans yet he took them anyway and used the funds for personal gain.

We will not hesitate to take action against directors who have abused Covid-19 financial support like this.

## **Notes to editors**

Brendan Michael Gaughan is of Glasgow and his date of birth is November 1982.

Gaughan Group Ltd – SC655799

Gaughan Property Ltd – SC655896

Rentl Property Ltd – SC655897

Disqualification undertakings are the administrative equivalent of a disqualification order but do not involve court proceedings.

Persons subject to a disqualification order are bound by a [range of other restrictions](#).

[Information about the work of the Insolvency Service, and how to complain about financial misconduct](#).

## **Contact Press Office**

You can also follow the Insolvency Service on:

---

## **[Putting Child First into practice](#)**

The Youth Justice Board (YJB) has published revised [case management guidance](#). I know that I, and many services, have been looking forward to this day and the much-needed clarification that the guidance provides.

The revised guidance accompanies the Lord Chancellor's [national standards for children in the youth justice system](#), which set out the minimum expectations for services. Crucially, it plugs a gap in our advice for how services should implement the standards in accordance with their guiding principle for youth justice – Child First. This will ensure that practice reflects contemporary understanding of what enables positive child outcomes, creating safer communities with fewer victims.

Each section of the guidance was reviewed, re-written and tested. In doing so, we gained valuable insights from across the sector into local practice on the ground. We incorporated changes in legislation, current research evidence, as well as the suitability of the language used.

We also listened to your requests to improve its usability and so rebuilt the guidance as a searchable manual.

We have published the revised guidance as soon as possible so you can take advantage of the revisions, but please note that updates to the out-of-court disposals and custody and resettlement sections will not be incorporated until the end of this year or early next year.

Updating the case management guidance was no easy feat because practice, evidence and understanding are ever evolving. It required a major collaborative effort, spanning three years of partnership working that involved the YJB team, professionals from across the youth justice system, government officials, children and young adults, academic researchers, and wider stakeholders. Much of their input came in particularly difficult circumstances, including during the pandemic. I can't thank them enough for their resilience and commitment.

Please ensure that you spread the word about this revised guidance and encourage your colleagues to use it.

## **Involving professionals, third sector experts and academics**

We needed to reflect experience and insights from the full spectrum of frontline professionals in local youth justice delivery. To do this we convened a user group of 28 members from across England and Wales, including frontline youth justice service (YJS) practitioners, health, court and police representatives, and colleagues from the secure estate. The user group's expertise was invaluable.

To gain a broader perspective, we similarly benefitted hugely from the insights of our Quality of Advocacy Working Group, Voluntary and Community Sector Liaison Group and our Academic Liaison Network.

## **Involving children and young adults**

Our Youth Advisory Network is a group of children and young adults with direct experience of the justice system. We developed specific sections of

our guidance in collaboration with them and ensured that their voices helped to shape it.

We asked them for their views about how their practitioner can work with them better.

Children's insights from lived experience proved vital. For instance, they made the powerful point that if they are ten minutes late for an appointment, they can be penalised, but it was not unusual to have to wait much longer for their worker if running late from other appointments or urgent business. They reflected that respect should go both ways – and we know from research that children mirror how they are treated by professionals. This is reflected in the guidance.

We also learnt that the assessment process is unlikely to be a neutral event for a child. This is particularly true for those who have experienced trauma. The telling (and re-telling) of their stories to individuals they do not know may be difficult and painful. This is because their YJS is often just one of many agencies which is assessing their needs.

These insights are reflected in new practical advice on how to involve children in their assessment as well as how best to interview them for reports. We adapted the guidance to encourage children to participate and tell their stories in their own way. We also guided practitioners to ask the child what interventions would assist and be meaningful to them.

## **Child First guidance**

One of my roles was to chair a sub-committee of leading academics and stakeholders reviewing the evidence around some of the more challenging issues, such as remanding, intensive supervision, and breaching. At its heart, our advice tried to ensure that the guidance was in line with Child First.

Child First isn't a phrase, mantra, or philosophy; it's the published guiding principle for the youth justice sector. Essentially, it summarises our contemporary evidence-based understanding in youth justice.

And this understanding has progressed substantially in recent years, helping us to move beyond a deficit-focus on managing 'risk of offending', towards a more constructive focus helping children to make positive contributions to society. This has been reflected throughout the updated guidance.

The Child First principle has four tenets that summarise what is important in youth justice. It's laid out in full in [this document](#), but can be summed up in this simple ABCD:

### **As children**

Recognise how children are developmentally different from adults and require different support.

## **Building pro-social identity**

Promote children's individual strengths and capacities to develop a pro-social identity, focusing on positive child outcomes rather than just trying to manage offending.

## **Collaborating with children**

Involve children meaningfully to encourage their investment, engagement, and social inclusion.

## **Diverting from stigma**

Promote supportive diversion from the criminal justice system where possible, or minimising stigma within it, as we know that stigma causes further offending.

Reflecting current evidence in our revised case management guidance is an important step towards achieving a Child First youth justice system that enables children to make a constructive contribution to society. This will prevent offending and create safer communities with fewer victims.