# Press release: Baroness Anelay at UN to build on PSVI progress

The Rt Hon Baroness Anelay of St Johns, Foreign and Commonwealth Office Minister for the UN and the Commonwealth and the Prime Minister's Special Representative on Preventing Sexual Violence in Conflict, will travel to New York this week, during the final days of the UK's Security Council presidency.

The Minister will use her two-day visit to signal UK support for more women mediators within the UN system. She will outline the UK's next steps on the Preventing Sexual Violence in Conflict Initiative (PSVI) and discuss UN peacekeeping performance and reform.

On Monday, the Minister will meet with a range of senior representatives within the UN system. She will co-host events focused on increasing the number of women mediators within the UN and tackling sexual exploitation and abuse within peacekeeping missions. She will also meet with NGOs to discuss modern slavery.

On Tuesday, she will host an event to mark five years of the UK-led Initiative to end sexual violence in conflict, at which she will promote draft Principles for Global Action aimed at tackling the stigma attached to sexual violence in conflict. She will meet the new US Permanent Representative, Nikki Haley, participate in a number of meetings on sustainable peace, and will host Permanent Representatives from other Commonwealth countries, ahead of the UK-hosted Commonwealth Summit in April 2018.

Speaking ahead of the visit, Baroness Anelay said:

This visit to New York will allow us to make real progress on a range of UK priority issues at the UN.

As the UN increases its focus on gender and conflict prevention, I will be pushing for an increase in the number of women mediators in the UN system. Involving women in peace processes increases the chances for reconciliation and leads to stronger, more sustainable peace.

I will mark the five-year anniversary of the UK-led initiative on Preventing Sexual Violence in Conflict Initiative during my visit. We must continue to build on progress to better support victims, and address stigma.

I also welcome the opportunity to discuss next steps in tackling sexual exploitation and abuse, to restore trust between peacekeepers and those they serve. I welcome the new UN Secretary General's leadership on this issue.

# <u>Statement to Parliament: Bus Services</u> <u>Bill: English votes for English laws</u>

Analysis of English votes for English laws in relation to government amendments made at report stage to the Bus Services Bill.

I am pleased to announce the publication of analysis of English votes for English laws in relation to government amendments made at report stage to the Bus Services Bill.

The English votes for English laws process applies to public bills in the House of Commons. To support the process, the government has agreed that it will provide information to assist the Speaker in considering whether to certify that Bill or any of its provisions for the purposes of English votes for English laws. Bill provisions that relate exclusively to England or to England and Wales, and which have a subject matter within the legislative competence of one or more of the devolved legislatures, can be certified.

This analysis reflects the position should all the government amendments be accepted.

The memorandum can be found on the <u>Bill documents page of the Parliament</u> website and I have deposited a copy in the Libraries of the House.

# News story: Track worker near miss incidents at Camden Junction South

Shortly after 01:00 hrs on 28 February 2017, two track workers narrowly avoided being struck by the 22:42 hrs passenger train service from Wolverhampton to London Euston. The incident took place close to Camden Junction South, as the train was on its final approach to Euston station. It was travelling on a line that the workers believed had been blocked to traffic to allow engineering work to take place.

The train was rounding a curve at approximately 47 mph (76 km/h) when the driver became aware of a track worker, who had been installing a possession limit board associated with the engineering work, moving quickly off the line

ahead of him. The driver stopped his train and contacted the signaller to report a near miss with the track worker.

The signaller then authorised the driver to continue into Euston station. The driver had accelerated the train to 24 mph (39 km/h) when he again applied the brake in response to seeing a second track worker on the line ahead. The train stopped approximately 300 metres beyond its original stopping point, adjacent to the track worker who had also been installing equipment associated with the engineering work. The track worker moved off the line when he became aware of the approaching train, but did not have time to reach a position of safety (at least 1.25 metres from the nearest line) before the train reached him because he was working in an area some distance from the nearest position of safety.

There were no injuries.

Our investigation will determine the sequence of events. It will also include consideration of:

- the way in which information about engineering possessions is published and disseminated
- the management of information about engineering possessions within signal boxes
- any related issues associated with signaller workload and fatigue; and
- any relevant underlying management factors

Our investigation is independent of any investigation by the railway industry, the British Transport Police or by the industry's regulator, the Office of Rail and Road.

We will publish our findings, including any recommendations to improve safety, at the conclusion of our investigation. This report will be available on our website.

You can <u>subscribe</u> to automated emails notifying you when we publish our reports.

# Keir Starmer speech at Chatham House: 'What next for Britain?'

\*\*\*CHECK
AGAINST DELIVERY\*\*\*

# Starmer MP, Labour's Shadow Brexit Secretary, speaking at

Chatham House, said:

#### In

a little over 48 hours' time, the Prime Minister will inform the European Council that the UK intends to leave the EU.

#### That

will signal the start of the most complex and important set of negotiations undertaken by any British Government since the end of World War II.

#### Chatham

House has seen many debates over the years, but perhaps none as significant for

our own country in recent history.

#### Since

June 23 last year, the Prime Minister has made a number of decisions reflecting

her interpretation of the referendum vote.

# Some options — like

single market membership — have been discounted.

# Others - like a

Comprehensive Free Trade Agreement or indeed no deal at all — have been talked up.

# These are not the only

options, yet, instead of welcoming scrutiny and debate, Brexiteer Ministers and

their supporters have demanded obedience to their own particular version of Brexit.

# Reasonable questions are

dismissed as evidence of unreasonable denial.

# Challenge is branded as

frustrating the will of the people.

# But on the eve of the

triggering of Article 50, I am here today to say that I, and the Labour Party,

will hold the Government to account and provide an alternative vision of our place in Europe.

# June 23 last year

answered one question but opened up many more — particularly about the UK's future relationship with Europe.

Indeed the terms of

Article 50 itself anticipates both a departure and a future framework.

What that future

framework looks like matters profoundly to our prosperity, our security and our

influence as a country in the years ahead.

While Labour did not

support leaving the European Union and I campaigned passionately against it, we

have accepted that that choice was made and that it will now be delivered.

That is why we voted in

Parliament for Article 50 to be triggered.

I believe to have done

otherwise would have diverted the debate and let the Government off the hook by

allowing it to continually focus on the outcome of the referendum vote rather than the proper interpretation of the mandate.

The debate this week

moves on from the rhetoric of aspiration to the reality of negotiation.

In a previous time,

Labour, then in Government, set out five tests around joining the euro that proved a rigorous mechanism to uphold our national interest.

Today I set out the

tests against which we shall judge the deal negotiated by the British Government over the next 24 months.

### Αt

a moment of this magnitude it is essential that our response reflects core British values which we have long cherished in the Labour Party but which are rooted in the everyday life of communities up and down the country.

Internationalism:

reaching out to Europe and the rest of the world rather than turning inwards.

Co-operation;

solidarity; and a belief that we achieve more together than we do alone.

An

unflinching commitment to protect the fundamentals that make us proud to live in this country — human rights, workplace rights, our environment and the rule

of law.

#### Δ

belief that our economy and broader society should be based on principles of fairness, equality and social justice.

#### And

that prosperity, power and opportunity must be shared in all regions and nations of the country.

#### As

we exit the EU, there is no reason to abandon these core beliefs.

#### Indeed.

these values can be the basis of a progressive and unifying response to Brexit.

#### 0ne

that recognises we are leaving the EU, but not leaving the family of European states; and that both sides of the referendum debate must have a stake in shaping Britain's future.

# These

are the values that underpin the six tests I set out today.

#### We

need to make the case for a collaborative and co-operative future relationship with the EU.

# Not

a member of the EU, but a partnership alongside it.

### Α

partnership based on shared values, common aims and mutual benefit.

#### Α

vision based on our core values as a country, a vision to challenge the regressive and isolationist world view that has come into sharp focus in recent months.

#### That

is why my first key test for the final Brexit deal is this:

#### Does

it ensure a strong and collaborative future relationship with the EU?

#### This

matters for the UK and it matters to the EU, who are looking to us as natural allies in light of the changed and volatile nature of global politics.

# The

rise of right-wing populism across parts of Europe, the resurgence of authoritarianism in Russia and nationalism in the US all threaten core progressive values — human rights, the rule of law, vital social and economic protections, and support for multilateral institutions.

#### As

the European Commission recently warned '…the return of isolationism has cast doubts over the future of international trade and multilateralism. Europe's prosperity and ability to uphold our values on the world stage will continue to

depend on its openness and strong links with its partners.'

This is a point that has

been underscored in my recent visits to Brussels and in numerous meetings with

ambassadors and politicians across Europe.

#### Now

is not the time for Britain to turn away from our closest neighbours and those

who share our core values.

#### Now

is the time to recommit to our values of internationalism, cooperation and belief in multinational solutions to complex challenges.

#### But

there is a worrying and increasingly powerful move on the Government benches to

sever our links with Europe.

#### This

is the authentic voice of the Brexiteers.

#### Those

who have argued for decades that exiting the EU offers a once in a generation chance for Britain to extricate herself from the entire European social and economic model: employment rights, environmental protections, fair corporate tax rates, investment in public services such as the NHS.

#### 0nce

a small minority in the Conservative Party, the Brexiteers are now in office and in power.

#### This

ideologically driven approach to Brexit would be disastrous and divisive.

#### And

it would stand as a road block to continued cooperation in the important fields

of technology, research, medicine, security, science, arts and culture.

#### The

Prime Minister needs to face down these Brexiteers who would distance us from Europe.

# And

the clearest way for her to do so would be to agree a strong and

#### collaborative

future relationship with the EU not just reflected in comprehensive trading arrangements but also reflected in fields such as science, medicine, education,

technology, research, counter-terrorism and so much else.

#### And

on the question of trade, let us be clear.

#### For

all the talk about hypothetical trade deals in new markets, the nature and strength of any future EU-UK trade deal must be the Government's priority.

# 44

percent of UK exports go to the EU, making the EU by far the UK's largest export market.

#### In

contrast, India accounts for 1.7 percent of UK exports, Australia 1.7 percent,

Canada 1.2 percent and New Zealand approximately 0.2 percent.

#### Of course

it is important for Britain to be a global trading nation.

#### But in

terms of jobs and the future of our economy, the trade deal that matters most is the deal we reach with the EU.

# That is

why the Prime Minister's choice to give up on membership of the Single Market and the Customs Union is a significant risk.

### I accept

that retaining Single Market membership as a non-EU country is fraught with difficulties and that views in the Labour Party about this differ.

# But

differences over form should not mask the near universal consensus in the Labour Party that the key attributes of the Single Market must be retained.

# These

are: continued tariff-free trade for UK businesses with the EU; no additional bureaucratic burdens or divergence from the EU market; continued competitiveness for goods and services; and no drop in existing workplace protections.

#### From

conversations I have had with hundreds of businesses and trade union members in

recent months, it is clear there is widespread consensus on this.

#### Whether

these objectives can best be achieved through re-writing the terms of the EEA or via a bespoke trade agreement is frankly secondary to the outcome. What matters is that jobs, the economy and living standards do not suffer as a result of Brexit.

#### Which

brings me to my second key test:

#### Does

it deliver the "exact same benefits" as we currently have as members of the Single Market and Customs Union?

#### This

is of course, the clear commitment that David Davis has given in the House of Commons; to deliver "...a comprehensive free trade agreement and a comprehensive

customs agreement that will deliver the exact same benefits as we [currently] have".

#### The

"exact same benefits" is an exacting standard.

#### But

it is one the Government has made.

#### And

it is one we will hold them to.

# Failure

to deliver this deal will lie squarely at the Government's door.

# Yet

the biggest danger currently facing British businesses, jobs and living standards is the chance of the Prime Minister exiting the EU without a deal.

# This

is the worst of all possible outcomes.

#### Ιt

is, in the words of the Director General of the CBI, a 'recipe for chaos'. And

as the Mayor of London said: "the Prime Minister's assertion that 'no deal is better than a bad deal for Britain' fundamentally underestimates the colossal damage that...it would have on Britain's economy."

# That

is why the Foreign Secretary was so very misguided when he said it would be "no

problem" for the UK to exit without a deal.

#### Ιt

would, as David Davis confirmed just last week, mean tariffs of 30-40 percent on dairy and meat producers, 10 percent tariffs on cars and a loss of passporting rights for financial services.

#### The

Prime Minister should end this unnecessary uncertainty now by committing to establish appropriate transitional arrangements starting on 29 March 2019 and lasting until a full and collaborative EU-UK treaty can be agreed.

Yet the Prime Minister repeatedly ducks this issue.

# The most alarming

passage in her Lancaster House speech was the pledge to negotiate within two years, not only the Article 50 agreement, but also a new free trade agreement and everything else required to govern future relations on security, research.

migration, energy and so on.

# The reality is that it

will take much longer than that: if all goes well, two years could allow for the completion of the Article 50 deal and a sketch of the future EU-UK relationship

with transitional arrangements.

#### And

the right deal is better than a quick deal.

#### For

the right deal, clear priorities matter.

# For

as many years as I can remember it has always been the case that the Prime Minister — of whatever party — would put national security first, and after that would always be the economy and jobs.

# **Immigration**

or wider issues — important though they unquestionably are — would never be given priority over the economy and jobs.

# Yet

that is precisely what this Prime Minister has done.

# As

I have argued before, exiting the EU will mean the entire immigration system needs to be reformed. But doing so should not be at the cost of jobs and the economy.

# This

bring me to my third test for the final Brexit deal:

Does

it ensure the fair management of migration in the interests of the economy and  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) +\left($ 

communities?

#### Like

the vast majority of the British public, I believe in a sensible, reasonable approach to immigration and our proud record of supporting refugees.

# The

benefits of immigration are obvious and should be celebrated.

#### But

these are not always distributed evenly across different parts of the country,

the economy or society.

#### That

is why I believe in fair and effective management of migration.

#### As

we leave the EU, that core belief remains.

#### Ι

recognise there must be a new approach to immigration that has the consent of the British people and is managed in their interests.

# We

need to ensure that the costs and benefits are more fairly distributed, and are seen to be so.

#### The

final Brexit deal must contribute to this.

# But

Britain cannot succeed in the  $21^{\rm st}$  century if we are a closed country.

#### Britain

succeeds when it engages with the world, rather than retreating from it.

# Any

approach that prioritises immigration control above all else must be resisted because it will mean a weaker economy, an impoverished society and a self-defeating isolation mentality.

#### The

first test of this will be the agreement the Prime Minister must reach to protect the rights of EU nationals already in the UK, and UK nationals living in the EU. Those that have made the UK their home are our friends, our neighbours and our colleagues. They do not just 'contribute' to our society,

they are our society.

#### The

Prime Minister has repeatedly rejected efforts in the House of Commons to guarantee the rights of EU national before negotiations begin — despite the overwhelming case for doing so.

#### She

must now deliver a reciprocal deal on EU and UK citizens at the earliest possible opportunity.

Ι

turn now to my fourth key test for Brexit:

#### Does

it defend rights and protections and prevent a race to the bottom?

# 0ne

of the main reasons I campaigned to stay in the EU was because of the important

impact the EU has had on enshrining common workplace standards and protections.

#### These

include access to paid holiday leave, parental leave, and equal treatment rights for part-time and agency workers.

### These

rights didn't come from Europe alone — they were hard fought by trade unions and the labour movement.

#### But

crucially, their application across the whole of the single market means British workers have not been in a race to the bottom with their counterparts in Europe.

# Ensuring

strong, fair and robust workplace rights is in Labour's DNA.

#### Ιt

is in our country's DNA.

#### Exiting

the EU must not be used as a pretext for rolling back these rights or weakening

hard fought protections.

#### The

major battle over EU-derived rights — which are of course far wider that workplace rights and extend to consumer and human rights as well as environmental protections — will take place through the Great Repeal Bill.

#### This

will be a hugely complex task.

#### As

the House of Commons Library conclude, it is likely to be 'one of the largest legislative projects ever undertaken in the UK'. It is estimated that within just one Government Department — DEFRA — 80 percent of legislation will be affected by withdrawal from the EU.

#### Ιt

is highly likely that there will be attempts to use this Bill as a tool to weaken existing rights.

# Already

there has been talk of 'sunset clauses' being introduced that would mean EU-derived rights could lapse after five years.

#### Labour

will strongly oppose this.

#### We

will emphasise that all consumer rights and environmental protections derived from EU law should be fully protected—without qualifications, limitations or sunset clauses.

# My

fifth test for the final Brexit deal is:

#### Does

it protect national security and our capacity to tackle cross-border crime?

#### Having

worked with Theresa May when I was DPP and she was Home Secretary, I do not doubt her commitment to ensuring public safety and tackling terrorism.

#### Her

calm, measured and authoritative response to the horrific attack on Westminster

last week served to underline this.

# She

also recognises that the EU has been vital in helping improve cross-border efforts to prevent serious organised crime.

# Indeed,

Theresa May's only intervention during the referendum campaign was to say that

if the UK was to leave the EU "we would not be as safe as if we Remain".

#### And

yet, nine months on from the referendum, we have had no clarity on how the

Prime Minister now plans to ensure this quite understandable concern is not realised.

For

example, will Britain remain a member of Europol and Eurojust — two agencies  $\mathsf{T}$ 

have worked closely with in the past and know are absolutely vital to tackling

cross-border crime. If we are not to remain members, what alternative arrangements will the Prime Minister seek to put in place to ensure there is no

drop in capability?

Will

Britain retain the European Arrest Warrant? It was Theresa May herself who said

during the campaign that: "outside

the EU, we would have no access to the European Arrest Warrant, which has allowed us to extradite more than 5,000 people from Britain to Europe in the last five years, and bring 675 suspected or convicted wanted individuals to Britain to face justice. It has been used to get terror suspects out of the country and bring terrorists back here to face justice."

Having worked on many cases where I saw firsthand how important the EAW was, I find it deeply worrying that the Prime Minister is yet

to resolve even these most fundamental questions.

The Government White Paper says simply that: "...we will look to negotiate the best deal we can with the EU to cooperate in the fight against crime and terrorism"

Frankly,

this is nowhere near good enough. We know that crime and terrorism know no borders.

The

EU also plays an important role in our wider security.

So,

we should set a more exacting standard: does the final Brexit deal ensure there

is no diminution in Britain's national security or ability to tackle cross-border crime?

Mν

sixth and final test for the Brexit deal is:

Does

it deliver for all regions and nations of the UK?

#### The

referendum campaign was very divisive. The aftermath saw a shocking rise in hate crime, violence and intolerance.

#### Ιn

my Bloomberg Speech in December I warned that a new fracture was developing in

our politics. That the country was more divided than at any time in my life.

# Responsibility

lies with the Prime Minister to bring the country together, not drive to it further apart.

#### Yet

the Prime Minister has been unable to gain the confidence of the governments of

Scotland, Wales or Northern Ireland as she prepares to trigger Article 50.

#### The

Welsh First Minister warned last week that the Prime Minister had a 'tin ear' on devolution and that the legitimate and pressing concerns of the Welsh Government were not being listened to.

#### The

Prime Minister's failure to engage with the Scottish Government or to recognise

specific and particular concerns has provided an excuse for the SNP to press ahead with its divisive call for a second referendum and the break-up of Britain.

# This

is, I fear, becoming the defining characteristic of the way that this Prime Minister is conducting this process.

### Guarded,

closed, unable to build a consensus or form alliances.

# **Instead**

of being open and willing to listen to differing views on incredibly complex issues, she has retreated into Government by mantra.

# 'Brexit

means Brexit',

#### 'No

running commentary',

#### 'No

deal is better than a bad deal'.

#### Bland

phrases designed to shut out dialogue.

#### The

result has been increased dislocation between Westminster and the rest of the country — precisely at a time when we need a new settlement to unite communities across the UK.

#### That

is why the Mayor of London was right in his recent White Paper when he called for a fundamental shift in the Government's approach to devolution so that: "... as powers and funding are transferred from Brussels, the government's default position should be a presumption of devolution…unless a compelling case

for yet further centralisation in Whitehall can be made".

#### This

would be a far more progressive, unifying vision of post-Brexit Britain.

Ι

do not underestimate the difficulty of the task the Prime Minister is about to embark on.

0n

the contrary, I know this is going to be fiendishly difficult.

#### **A11**

of us want the best for Britain.

# But

the stakes are high and the Prime Minister's approach so far does not bode well.

# Today

I have set out the values that should drive Britain's response to Brexit.

#### And

the tests Labour will set for the final Brexit deal.

# These

build on the economic red lines set out last year by the Shadow Chancellor.

# Failure

to meet those tests will affect how Labour votes in the House of Commons.

#### Let

me be clear: Labour will not support a deal that fails to reflect core British

values and the six tests I have set out today.

# But

I do not want to end by returning to a stale debate on process, votes and Parliament.

want to end by making one final observation:

#### The

referendum vote on June 23 was, in my view, a vote on the state of the nation.

And it was years in the making.

### Yes.

there were concerns about the functioning of the EU, its remoteness and the slow pace of reform.

#### But

there was also a desire felt by people in many parts of the country that politics and the economy no longer worked for them or their communities.

#### The

Brexiteers offered false hope that by voting to leave the EU all that would change.

#### But

the truth is that Brexit cannot tackle stagnant wages, resolve a chronic skills

gap, reduce unequal growth across the UK or improve underfunded public services.

#### Brexit

cannot mend public trust in politics or build more cohesive communities.

#### And

it cannot provide a place for Britain in a more complex and chaotic global order.

#### We

need bold, ambitious and future-looking policies capable of meeting the concerns exposed in the vote of 23 June last year.

#### We

need a profound belief that politics should not be about division but about speaking to and for our nation.

# **Ends**

# Corporate report: NDA: Quarterly Performance Report Quarter 2 status

# for 2016-17

Specific targets sit behind the planned activity in <u>our Business Plan</u>. This report includes the status of progress against business plan targets. The report is based on reporting at the end of Quarter 2 2016 (end of September 2016).