

[Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India signs a Memorandum of Understanding with the Reserve Bank of India](#)

The Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India (IBBI) signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) today with the Reserve Bank of India (RBI). The MoU was signed by Mr. Sudarshan Sen, Executive Director of the RBI and Dr. (Ms.) Mamta Suri, Executive Director of the IBBI in the august presence of Mr. Injeti Srinivas, Secretary to Government of India, Ministry of Corporate Affairs; Dr. M. S. Sahoo, Chairperson, IBBI and other distinguished Members of the Insolvency Law Committee (ILC) on the side-lines of the 4th meeting of the ILC at New Delhi.

[Go to Source](#)

Author:

[Training provided to 47826 tribal beneficiaries/entrepreneurs from 2014-15 to 2016-17](#)

As far as Ministry of Tribal Affairs is concerned, small scale industries specific scheme has not been formulated for imparting training to tribals engaged in such industries. However, training is provided to tribal beneficiaries/entrepreneurs who are generally either unemployed or self-employed for value addition etc. in traditional skills like handicraft, tribal arts, sustainable harvesting and primary processing of minor forest produce and similar other products etc. Following is the number of such training imparted during last three years:

Year

Number of beneficiaries

2014-15

16122

2015-16

15135

2016-17

16569

Total

47826

This information was given by Minister of State for Tribal Affairs, Shri Jaswantsinh Bhabhor in a written reply in Lok Sabha today.

[Go to Source](#)

Author:

Rs.231.50 Crore released for 'Strengthening Education among ST Girls in Low Literacy Districts from 2012-13 to 2017-18

Based on Census figures, all India Scheduled Tribe (ST) female literacy rate has increased from 34.8% in 2001 to 49.4% in 2011. Ministry of Tribal Affairs has been implementing the scheme, 'Strengthening Education among ST Girls in Low Literacy Districts'.

[Go to Source](#)

Author:

Joint conference on judicial cooperation in cybercrime matters



12 March 2018

To cope with the ever-increasing number and complexity of cybercrime cases, enhanced international judicial cooperation is required. On 7 and 8 March

2018, participants from more than 60 countries around the world met at Eurojust to gain a better understanding of the assistance available to practitioners in the pursuit of a common criminal policy toward cybercrime and the gathering of electronic evidence. The conference was co-organised by Eurojust and the Cybercrime Programme Office of the Council of Europe (C-PROC) within the framework of the Global Action on Cybercrime Extended (GLACY+) Project.

Participants and speakers were Eurojust National Members, Council of Europe officials, representatives of EU and international agencies and networks as well as authorities, engaged in international cooperation in cases involving cybercrime, electronic evidence and mutual legal assistance in criminal matters. The meeting was opened by Ladislav Hamran, President of Eurojust, and Alexander Seger, Head of the Cybercrime Division, Council of Europe.

Topics discussed included Eurojust's mission, objectives, core tasks and work in the field of cybercrime; challenges, obstacles and constraints in international cooperation; capacity building projects of the Council of Europe; the implementation of the Budapest Convention and the role of the Cybercrime Convention Committee; cooperation with the private sector; case examples and practical experience; and the way forward. By the end of this conference, participants were able to better utilise Eurojust and the Budapest Convention in terms of judicial cooperation in cybercrime matters and electronic evidence collection through:

- a better understanding of Eurojust's role and tools;
- a better understanding of the Budapest Convention and capacity-building programmes; and
- an exchange of experience and case work among EU Member States and other participating countries regarding the implementation of the Budapest Convention and the use of Eurojust's tools.

Related links:

[Joint conference on judicial cooperation in cybercrime matters](#)



12 March 2018

To cope with the ever-increasing number and complexity of cybercrime cases, enhanced international judicial cooperation is required. On 7 and 8 March 2018, participants from more than 60 countries around the world met at Eurojust to gain a better understanding of the assistance available to practitioners in the pursuit of a common criminal policy toward cybercrime

and the gathering of electronic evidence. The conference was co-organised by Eurojust and the Cybercrime Programme Office of the Council of Europe (C-PROC) within the framework of the Global Action on Cybercrime Extended (GLACY+) Project.

Participants and speakers were Eurojust National Members, Council of Europe officials, representatives of EU and international agencies and networks as well as authorities, engaged in international cooperation in cases involving cybercrime, electronic evidence and mutual legal assistance in criminal matters. The meeting was opened by Ladislav Hamran, President of Eurojust, and Alexander Seger, Head of the Cybercrime Division, Council of Europe.

Topics discussed included Eurojust's mission, objectives, core tasks and work in the field of cybercrime; challenges, obstacles and constraints in international cooperation; capacity building projects of the Council of Europe; the implementation of the Budapest Convention and the role of the Cybercrime Convention Committee; cooperation with the private sector; case examples and practical experience; and the way forward. By the end of this conference, participants were able to better utilise Eurojust and the Budapest Convention in terms of judicial cooperation in cybercrime matters and electronic evidence collection through:

- a better understanding of Eurojust's role and tools;
- a better understanding of the Budapest Convention and capacity-building programmes; and
- an exchange of experience and case work among EU Member States and other participating countries regarding the implementation of the Budapest Convention and the use of Eurojust's tools.

Related links: