

Commissioner Stylianides in Colombia: over €31 million in humanitarian aid announced for Latin America and the Caribbean

“The European Union’s commitment to support Latin America is stronger than ever. Here in Colombia, our new EU funding will help on two fronts: addressing the humanitarian consequences of the decades-long conflict in the country and helping reinforce the region’s preparedness and response to natural disasters. We have also announced new funding for those affected by the crisis in Venezuela: supporting those in need is a priority for the EU.” said Commissioner **Stylianides**.

The Commissioner met with Colombian President Santos in Bogota where he reiterated the EU’s commitment to stand side by side in the country’s path to peace and prosperity. Over recent days, he also visited Quibdo, in the Choco department, an area greatly affected by conflict as well as the border town of Cucuta where there has been an upsurge in those fleeing Venezuela.

The funding announced today is part of an overall humanitarian aid package for the region, with €6 million going for Colombia. A further €2 million will go to those people affected by the crisis in Venezuela.

This comes on top of the EU’s other aid programmes and support to the region such as the EU Trust Fund for Colombia. Since 1994, the Commission has provided over €1 billion in humanitarian assistance to Latin America and the Caribbean, focusing on the populations most affected by natural disasters and violence, and preparing communities to withstand future hazards.

Background

The overall humanitarian aid package announced today supports a range of concrete projects in the region, in addition to the funding for Colombia and Venezuela.

The funding includes €6.9 million for food assistance, disaster risk reduction and support to those affected by violence in Central America (particularly Honduras, Guatemala, El Salvador and Nicaragua) and Mexico. €3 million will support disaster risk reduction activities in the South American region (notably Bolivia, Ecuador, Paraguay, Peru). €5 million is for emergency food assistance in Haiti, with a further €6.3 million going for disaster risk reduction in the country. €2 million will support disaster risk reduction and resilience interventions in the Caribbean.

The Latin America and Caribbean region is one of the world’s most disaster-prone areas. Natural hazards affect thousands every year – particularly the most vulnerable populations living in poverty, in remote areas with limited

access to basic services, such as indigenous peoples. Conflict and violence also plague several Latin American and Caribbean countries. Despite the ongoing peace negotiations, Colombia's long-standing internal conflict has caused the internal displacement of 7.3 million people, with spill-over effects in the whole region.

For more information

[Central America](#)

[South America](#)

[Colombia](#)

[Haiti](#)

[Caribbean](#)

Weekly Road Report – West End Ward **#dundeewestend**



DUNDEE CITY COUNCIL – WEEKLY ROAD REPORT

REPORT FOR WEST END WARD – SATURDAY 17 AND SUNDAY 18 MARCH 2018

Benvie Road/Mitchell Street junction – closed from Saturday 17 March for 5 days for demolition works. Mitchell Street closed Saturday 17 and Sunday 18 March only.

Nethergate/South Tay Street (West Marketgait to South Tay Street including South Tay Street junction) – closed from Saturday 17 March for up to 5 days for carriageway repairs.

REPORT FOR WEEK COMMENCING MONDAY 19 MARCH 2018

Riverside Drive/South Union Street at Dundee Railway Station – off-peak (9.30am – 3.30pm) east/northbound nearside lane closure for up to 2 weeks for footway works.

Forthcoming Roadworks

Bellfield Street (Hawkhill to No 24) – closed from Monday 26 March for 5 days for sewer works.

Lochee Road (at Benvie Steps) – temporary traffic lights on Wednesday 28 March for Scottish Water sewer repair.

[My Speech in the European Affairs Debate, 15 March](#)

John Redwood (Wokingham) (Con)

Before the referendum, I made a speech in the House saying that we had become a puppet Parliament. All too often, regulations came from the EU that we could do nothing about, because they acted directly. In many other cases, even if we had been outvoted or were not happy about a proposition, a directive instructed the House to put through massive and complex legislation whether it wished to or not. We had a situation in which the Front Benchers of the main parties, alternating in government as they tended to do, went along with this. The convention was that the Opposition did not really oppose, because they knew that Parliament was powerless and that the decision had been made elsewhere, whether the British people liked it or not. That even extended to tax matters, such as a number of VAT issues, including areas where we cannot change VAT as we would like, and to corporation tax issues, which included occasions when we thought that we had levied money on companies fairly, but the EU decided otherwise and made us give it back.

Many British people shared my concern, and that was why we all went out together and voted in large numbers to take back control. The British people wanted to trust their British Parliament again. Of course they will find times when they dislike the Government, individual MPs and whole parties, but they can live with that, because they can get rid of us. They know that come the election, if we cease to please, they can throw one group out and put in place a group who will carry out their wishes. They said very clearly to our Parliament in that referendum, "Take back control; do your job."

A recent example is that of Her Majesty's Government presenting a very long and complex piece of legislation to completely transform our data protection legislation. Because it was based entirely on new EU proposals, it went through without any formal opposition. The Opposition obeyed the convention and did not vote against it or try very hard to criticise it. I am sure that if the proposal had been invented in Whitehall and promoted actively by UK Ministers, the Opposition would have done their job, found things to disagree with and made proposals for improvement. We will have this "puppet Parliament" effect all the time that we are under control from Brussels.

Jonathan Edwards

Given the scenario that the right hon. Gentleman is putting forward, is it not the truth that the Welsh and Scottish Parliaments will also be puppet Parliaments post Brexit?

John Redwood

No, that is not true. In their devolved areas, they have genuine power, which they exercise in accordance with their electors' wishes, but of course this is the sovereign United Kingdom Parliament, and the devolved powers come from the sovereign Parliament, as the hon. Gentleman well understands, which is presumably why he likes being here.

Sir William Cash

Will my right hon. Friend also bear in mind the manner in which laws are made in Europe? They are made behind closed doors in the Council of Ministers with no proper record of who votes, how and why—we are outvoted more than any other country—and then those laws come here and are imposed upon us in this Parliament.

John Redwood

I quite agree.

We wish to take back control. We will be a very different and much better country when this Parliament can settle how much tax we levy, how we levy it, how we spend money, how we conduct ourselves and what kind of laws we have.

My main remarks for the Minister and his colleagues on the Treasury Bench, however, concern the conduct of the negotiations. Like the Minister, I wish the Government every success. I hope that they get a really good deal—I look forward to seeing where they get to—but the EU is trying to make the process as difficult as possible by insisting on conducting the negotiations in reverse order. It says first that we have to agree to pay it a whole load of money that we do not owe. It then says that we have to agree a long transition period that coincides with its further budget periods, so that it can carry on levying all that money, and that is before we get on to what really matters: the future relationship and the questions of whether there be a comprehensive free trade agreement, what it will cover, and if it will be better than just leaving under WTO terms.

In order to have a successful negotiating position, the Government have rightly sketched out a couple of important propositions. The first is that nothing is agreed until everything is agreed. That is fundamental, and I urge Ministers to understand that they must not sign any withdrawal agreement unless and until there is a comprehensive agreement that is credible and that can be legally upstanding, because there is no point paying money for nothing. There would only be any point in giving the EU all that money if there was a comprehensive agreement that the Government and the country at large could be proud of, and which enough leave voters could agree with as well as remain voters.

The second thing that the Government have rightly said is that no deal is better than a bad deal. That, again, is fundamental to the negotiations. I have never made any bones about this, because I said before the referendum that no deal was quite a likely outcome, and a fine outcome. For me, no deal is a lot better than staying in the EU: it would give us complete control over our money, meaning we could start spending it on our priorities; it would give us complete control over our laws, meaning we could pass the laws and levy the taxes that we wanted; it would give us complete control over our borders, meaning we could have the migration policy of our choosing; and it would give us the complete right and freedom to negotiate a trade policy with the EU and anybody else. That would depend, of course, on the good will of the other side as well, but I would far rather be in that position than part of a customs union in which I had little influence and that was extremely restrictive against others. There is therefore an awful lot going for no deal.

The Minister and his colleagues must stick to the proposition that they will recommend a deal to the House only if it is manifestly better than no deal. They need to keep reminding the EU negotiators that no deal offers Britain most of what it wanted when it voted to take back control.

Anna Soubry

Will my right hon. Friend confirm whether he has seen the Government analysis—apparently it involves excellent modelling and is far better than anything they did in the run-up to the EU referendum—showing that if we were to crash out without a deal and rely on WTO tariffs, our projected increase in productivity and economic growth would be reduced by 7.7%? Is that what his remain-voting constituents—the majority—voted for?

John Redwood

No, of course it is not, but that is not true. I have written at great length about that elsewhere. Unfortunately, I do not have time to go into a detailed rebuttal of those proposals, but we know that the Treasury modelling got entirely the wrong answer for the first 18 months after the referendum. Its short-term forecast, which should be easier to make, was massively wrong and predicted a recession. I and a few others put our forecasting reputation on the line during the referendum by saying that there would be growth after an out vote, rather than what the Treasury forecast. We were right.

I assure my right hon. Friend that I have not voted for anything that will make us poorer. We will be growing well, as long as we follow the right domestic policies. It is complete nonsense to say that there will be that kind of hit. It implies that we lose over half our exports to the European Union, and it is not a proper reflection of what would happen to our trade adjustment were anything that big to happen. I want to concentrate on the customs union.

Vicky Ford

Will my right hon. Friend give way?

John Redwood

I am sure that my hon. Friend wants me to concentrate on the customs union, because she shares my wish that the Government will be well supported if the Opposition decide to have a third go at voting through a customs union or customs union membership.

I remind the House that we have twice had big votes in the Commons in which Members have voted by a very large majority against our staying in the or a customs union. One was on an amendment to the Queen's Speech motion, and the other was on an amendment to the European Union (Withdrawal) Bill. I hear that some Labour Members may have changed their minds and want to vote again. I am a democrat, and the Opposition have their own ways of doing what they want to do, but I urge them not to vote to stay in the customs union.

Above all, are Labour Members not at all worried about poverty in emerging markets? Do they not think it is wrong that we place huge tariffs on poor countries' tropical produce—produce that we cannot grow for ourselves? Would it not be great, when we are outside the EU customs union, to be able to take down those tariffs and give those countries more hope of promoting themselves by good trade, while at the same time benefiting our customers because they would be able to buy cheaper tropical products? Can we not do good trade deals with those emerging market countries across the piece? The tariff barriers are too high, and we could make mutually advantageous changes if we were free to do so. I urge the Labour party to remember its roots in campaigning against poverty and to join me in saying that the best way to get the world out of poverty is to get down the high tariffs on emerging market countries that the EU imposes, which I certainly do not agree with.

The Minister must remind Labour Members that no deal is better than a bad deal, and that no deal allows us to take back control of all the things that he and I promised to take back control of. He must also remember that we do not owe the EU any money. It would be fatally wrong to pay it loads of money if everything else does not work in the way we want.

Vicky Ford

Will my right hon. Friend confirm that he agrees with the Prime Minister that we should look for a deal that covers many sectors that are not covered by the WTO, such as aviation, data exchange and having a mutual recognition of financial services, so that trade in those areas can easily continue?

John Redwood

I am afraid that I am out of time, so I cannot go into detail on all these matters. I believe that we should negotiate strongly and positively. I wish my right hon. Friend the Prime Minister every success, but I wish to strengthen her hand by saying that out there in the country, the message is, "Get on with it." If that means leaving with no deal, that is absolutely fine.

Text of PM's speech at the Rising India Summit in New Delhi

???????? 18 ?? ????? ????? – ?? – ??? ????? ????? ??,???-????? ?? ?? ????????,
???? ????????? ??????? ?? ?????, ????????? ?? ?????????,

???? ????? ?? ??? ?? ?????-???? ????? ?? ????? ????? Rising India ????? ???
?????????? ????? ?? ????? ??????

????????, ?? ?? Rising ????? ??? ?? ????? ??? ??????? ?? ??????? ?? ?? ????? ?? ???
??? ?? ????? ??, ??? ??????? ??? ??, ????? ??? ??????, ?????? ??????? ?? ?? ????? ??
??? ??? ???

?? Rise ?????, ??? ?????, ?? ?? ??? ?? ??????? ??? ?????? ???, ?? ????? ?????????
???? ??????? ?? ????? ??? ????? ?? ?? ??? Rising India ????? ?????????
????????????????? ?? ??????? Rising India ??,?????????? ?? ????????? ????? ?? ?????
Rising India ??, ??????? ??????? ?????? ????????? ??????? Rising India ?? ??
??? ????????? ??????? ?????? ??? Rising India ???

????????, Rising India ?? ????? ??? ????? ?? ?????? ??? ?? ?????? ?????? ??
????????????? ?? Rise ?????, ??? ?? ?????????? ?? Rise ?????? ?? ??????? ??? ?? ??????
?????? ?? ?????????????? ?????? ?? ????? ??, ????? ??????? ?? ?? ????? ???, ?? ???????
?? ?????? ?? ????? ?? ????? ??, ?????? ?? ????? ?? ????? ???

?????? ??? ??? ?????????????? ?? ????? ??????? ?? ??????? ?? ????? ?? ??? ???

???????? ?? ?????, ????? ?? ?????? ??? ?? ?????????? ??? ?? ?? ?????? ?????? ??,
?????????? ?? Lead ??? ?? ??????? ??? follow ?????? ?????? ??? ??????? ??? ????? ???
???? ?? ??????? ??? ?? ??? ?? ??? ?? ??????? Lead ????? ?? ?? ?????? ??? follow
???? ???

???? ??? ????? ?? ?? ????? ?????? ?? ??? ??? ??????? ?????? ?????? ?? ?????????? ?? ???
??? ??????? ?? ?? ?????? ?? ?????????? ?? ??? ??????? ?????? ???

???????? ?? ?????????????? ?? ?????? ?????? ??? ??? ?? ?????????? ?? digital payment ??
???? ?? ?????? ??????? ??? ??? ??? ?????? ?? digital payment ????? ????? fastest
growing market ??? ?? ?? ???

?????????????? ?? ?????? ?????? ?? ?? ?????????? ?? ??? ??? ?? ?????? ?? ??????? ??????
??, ?? ?? ?? ??? ?? ?????? ?? ?? ??? ?? ?????? ??????? ?????????? ?? ??????? ???????
?? ??? ??? ??? ?????? ?? ??? ?? ??? ???

?????? ?????????? ??????? ?????? ?? ??????, ?????? ??? ?? ?????? ?? ??? ?????????? ?? ???
?? ?????? ?????? ?????? ?? ??? ?? ??????? ?????? ?????? ?????????? ??? ??????? ?? ?????????
?????? ?????? ?? ?? ??, ?????? ??????? ??????? ??? ?????? ??? ???, ?? ?????? ??????
????? ??? ??? ?????? ?????????????? ?? ?????? ??? ?????? ?????? ??? ??, ??????? ?? ??
?????? ?? ?????? ?????? ?? ?? ??????? ?????????? ?? ?????? ?? ?????? ?????? ?? ?????????? ??
??? ???

????????, ???? ??? ?? transformational shift ? ??? ??, ?? ???? ?????????? ?? ???
?? ? ??? ??, ???? ?????????????? ?? ??? ?? ? ??? ??? ??? ?????????????? ??? ?? ?????
???, ??? ?? ?????????????? ??? ?????????? ?? ??? ?? ?? ??? ???

???????? ?? ??????, ????? ??? ?? ??? ?? ?? ??? ????? ?????? ?? ?????????? ??, ???
???????? ?? ??? ????? ?????, ?? ? ????????? ?????? ?????? ?? ? ?? ?????? ?????? ??? ??
????? ?? ??? ?? ?????? ?? ?????? ?????? ?????????????? ????? ?? ?????????? ?? ?? ??? ??
????? ?????? ?? ????????? ?????????? ?? ??? ??? ?????? ?????????? ?????? ??? ??, ?? ???,?????????
18 ?? ?????????? ?? ?? ?????????? ?? ?????????? ?? ?????????? ?????? ??????

?????????, ?????????? ?????? ?????? ?? ?????, ?????? ?????????? ?????????????? ?? ?????????? ??? ???
???? ?? ?????? ?????????? ?????????????? ??? ?? ?????? ?????????? ?????? ?? ??? ???

?????????,????? ?????? ??? ?? ?????? ??? ?? ??? ?? ?????????? ??? ??? ?????? ?????????????? ??
?????????, ??? ?????????????? ?????????????????? ?? ??????????????, ?????? ?????? ?? ???
????????????????? ?????? ?????????? ?? ?????? ??? ?????? ?????????????????????? ?? ??? ?? ?? ?????? ??????
?????? ?? ?????????????????? ?? ?????????????????? ?????? ???

?? ??????, ?????? ??? ?????????????????? ?????????? ?????? ?? ??? ?????????? ?????? ??, ?????? ??????
?? ?????? ?????????? ??? ?? ??? ?????? ?????? ?? ?? ??? ?? ??? ??? ?? ??? ???, ?? ???
?? ?????? ?? ?? ?????, ?????? ?? ?????? ?? ?? ?? ?????? ??????

?????????, ?????????? ?????? ?? Emotional Integration ?? Demographic Dividend ??
?????? ?? ??? ?????? ?????? ?????? ???

?????? ??????? ??????? 'Act East And Act Fast For India's East'?? ?????? ?? ?? ???
??? ?? ?? ??? 'Act East' ?????? ??? ?? ?????? ?????????? ??????? ??????? ?? ??????????
?? ??????? ?????? ??? ?????? ?? ?????????? ?????????? ?????????, ???????, ?????????? ???????, ???????,
?? ??????? ??????

??? ?? ?? ?? ?????????? ??? ??, ?? ??????? ?? ?????? ??? ?????? ?? ??? ??? ?????? ?? ?????
??? ?? ?? ?????????? ?? ??????? ?? ?????? ?????????????? ?? ?????????? ??? ?????????? ??????????????
?? ?? ?????? ?? ?????? ???, ?? ??? ??????? ?? ??? ??? ?? ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ??
?? ?????????? ?? ?????? ?????? ?? ?????? ?????????????????? ??, ?????? ??? ?????????????????? ?? ?????
????? ?? ??? ?????? ??????

?? ?? ??????? ??????? ??????? ?? ??? ??? ?????????????????? Gas cracker project 31 ?????? ??
?????? ??? ?????? ??????? ??? ??? ?? ??? ?? ?????????????? ?? ??? ??? ?????? ??????
?? ?????? ?????? ?? ??? ?????? ?????????? ?? ??????????, ?????? ?? ?????? ?? ?????????? ??
????????? ??? ?????? ?? ??? ?????? ?????????????????? ?????????????? ?? ?????? ?? ??? ?????? ?? ???
???

?? Plants ?? ???, ?????????????? ?? ?????????? ?? ??????? ?? ??? ??? ?????????????? ?? ??????????
??? ?????????????? ?????????? ?????? ?? ?????????? ??????? ??? ??? ?????????????? ?? ?????????? ??????????????
?? ?????? ???-????????? ?? ?????????? ??????????

?? ??????? ?? ??????? ?? ?????????? ?? ?? ?????????? ??? Paradip Oil Refinery ?? ??? ???
????? ?? ?? ?? Paradip ??????? ?? ??????? ?????? ?? ?? ?????????? ??? ?? ?? ??????? ??
?????? ?? ?????????? ?? ?? ??? ?? ?????????? ?? ?????????? ?????? ?? ?????????? ??? ?? ?????
????????????????? ??????-????????? ??? ?? ??? ??? ??? ?? ?????? ??????
????? ?? Road sector ?? ?? Rail sector ?? ??? ?? ?????????? ?????? ???
Infrastructure ?? ?????????? ?? ?????? ?? ??? ??? ?????? ??????? Waterways ?? ?????????? ?????? ??

[resolve their problems](#)

Shri R K Singh, Union Minister of State (IC) Power and New & Renewable Energy held a meeting today with Independent Power Producers (IPPs) to discuss the issues faced by them and find out possible solutions.

[Go to Source](#)

Author: