

Weekly Road Report – West End Ward



DUNDEE CITY COUNCIL – ROAD REPORT FOR THE WEST END

SUNDAY 12 MARCH 2017

Perth Road (at Roseangle) – temporary traffic lights on Sunday 12 March for Scottish Water mains repair.

WEST END REPORT FOR WEEK COMMENCING MONDAY 13 MARCH 2017

Perth Road (at Arnhall Drive) – temporary traffic lights for 2 weeks for gas main renewal.

Blackness Road (at Rosefield Street) – temporary traffic lights for until midweek for Scottish Water mains renewal.

Nethergate (West Marketgait to South Tay Street) – closed for 2 weeks for carriageway resurfacing works.

SSE Glenagnes Cable Renewal – Lochee Road lane restrictions and closures on Blinshall Street, Fleuchar Street and Scott Street from Monday 6 March for 5 months.

Perth Road (at Springfield) – temporary traffic lights for one week for footway works.

Patons Lane (Magdalen Yard Road for 40m North) – closed from Monday 13 March for 5 working days for gas service connection works.

We need concrete measures now to improve mental health and restore safety in our prisons – Yasmin Qureshi

Labour's Shadow Justice Minister, Yasmin Qureshi MP, responding to The Royal College of Psychiatrists' warning that

psychiatric care in prisons is at breaking point, **said:**

“Prison suicides in 2016 were at the highest level ever recorded. Incidents of self-harm are also on the increase. This is hardly surprising given that the psychiatrists who work in prisons feel unable to do their job. Poor mental health, combined with a lack of prison staff, is endangering inmates, officers, and healthcare professionals. Friday’s fire at Guys Marsh attests to the acute nature of the crisis.

“The Justice Secretary must recognise that there is nothing in the Prisons and Courts Bill to deal with this worsening situation. We need concrete measures now to improve mental health and restore safety in our prisons.”

[The government must produce an International Trade White Paper and give urgent clarity what their plans are for our future international trade – Barry Gardiner](#)

Barry Gardiner MP,
Shadow Secretary of State for International Trade, commenting on this week’s inaugural Commonwealth Trade Ministers meeting said:

“The Commonwealth Trade Ministers meeting further demonstrates that the government is actively holding discussions with potential trading partners on our future trading relations. Although the government has told us their plan for Brexit, they have not presented to Parliament and the British people what their plan is for international trade. We’ve been promised an ‘independent trade policy’ from the Secretary of State for International Trade, however, this has not been disclosed.

“The government must produce an International Trade White Paper and give urgent clarity what their plans are for our future international trade, outlining negotiating principles and trade policy objectives.

“To this end, I have written to the Secretary of State for International Trade calling for him to urgently publish an International Trade White Paper and to address key unanswered questions about the government’s international trade

policy.”

Banning bots is a welcome announcement that is long overdue, but a single fix won't solve the problems of the broken ticket market – Tom Watson

Tom Watson MP, Labour's Shadow Secretary of State for culture, media and sport, commenting on the announcement on banning bots in ticketing, said:

“Banning bots is a welcome announcement that is long overdue, but a single fix won't solve the problems of the broken ticket market.

"The package of measures needs to focus on tougher enforcement of existing regulation to stop big ticket companies flouting the rules without suffering any consequences.

"This is a significant development in the long running battle to ensure fairness for fans but we'll keep campaigning for the comprehensive set of measures needed to finally end the big ticket rip off.”

John McDonnell – speech to Labour economic conference, Glasgow – Saturday 11 March 2017

*** CHECK AGAINST DELIVERY***

I want to thank you for coming today.

It's been great to see the enthusiasm across the country for these conferences.

There's
a huge thirst for ideas and for discussion about how we can turn our economy
round.

But
at the heart of our discussions is something fundamental.

Adam
Smith, who taught, as you know, right here in Glasgow, once called economics
a
"moral science".

He
meant that, yes, the economy obeys laws –

But
that it was also about the kind of society we live in.

That
economics is fundamentally about values.

That
when we think about the kind of economy we want, we must always think about
the
kind of values we hold for our society.

Our
values are these:

We
believe in a fair tax system where everybody, no matter how rich and
powerful,
pay their way.

We
believe that – through a fair tax system and collective endeavour – the
elderly
and disabled should be cared for and the sick be treated.

And
children educated to fulfil talents to full.

The
Chancellor presented his Budget statement earlier in the week.

The
contrast between what the Labour Party and the labour movement stand for
could
not be clearer.

What
I saw, sitting opposite him, was a Conservative Chancellor boasting about tax
cuts to the corporations and the rich

whilst
refusing to effectively tackle the crisis in social care for the elderly
refusing to properly fund the NHS and increasing the national insurance
burden
on many middle and low self-employed earners.

And
at the same time breaking a clear manifesto promise that the Conservatives
would not increase National Insurance.

Labour
opposed the tax hike from the start.

The
Chancellor's decision to push a £2bn tax rise on low and middle-earner
self-employed made little sense.

The
justification offered by the government does not stand up.

You
can't simply demand more taxes off people without offering something in
return.

This
week we saw the Government effectively blaming self-employed people for the
dysfunctional labour market.

There
wasn't a package of measures designed to address the problems of the modern
world of work.

It
was a single unilateral tax hike for all self-employed people earning over
eight thousand pounds.

It's
a single hike of £2 billion pounds targeted at the self-employed taking place
at the same time as the Tories are slashing taxes for giant corporations.

They're
making the minicab driver pay more, but the company she works for pay less.

And
that minicab driver will still not enjoy the protections of a full-time
contract.

A
hairdresser earning fifteen thousand pounds a year will be £139 pounds worse

off as a result of yesterday's measures.

Some
have tried to portray yesterday's announcement as progressive.

But
what's progressive about raising taxes for low-paid drivers, while the Government goes ahead with cuts to capital gains tax for a tiny few?

What's
progressive about raising taxes for low-paid self-employed cleaners, while the
wealthiest families in the country get a cut in their inheritance tax?

What's
progressive about raising taxes for plumbers, while multinational
corporations
see their tax bills slashed year after year?

What's
not fair is £70 billion pounds of tax giveaways for the wealthiest and
corporations, while hiking taxes on middle and low earnings.

That's
not fair. That's not progressive. That's just not right.

Meanwhile
the Government's incremental reforms to business rates fall far short of the
radical long-term reform needed.

They
are trying a delaying tactic, but business rates are a ticking time bomb
threatening to destroy many town centres.

Now
Labour recognise that the labour market is changing.

Some
of this can be welcome.

Self-employment
can give people the flexibility at work that a more conventional contract
might
not.

Changes
in technology have opened up new scope for interesting and fulfilling work –
for some.

But
let's not romanticise this.

Bogus

self-employment is a real and growing problem.

It means workers going without the protections that the appropriate labour contract can give.

It means workers forced into more insecure work, for less pay – and employers ducking their own requirements to pay National Insurance.

I want to pay tribute to the campaigning work put in by our unions to bring employers to heel.

Scottish TUC are running an excellent campaign, “Better than Zero”, against zero hours contracts.

The challenge for the next Labour government and the whole labour movement will be in securing the balance between the best possible protections for those in work –

And recognising that the world of work itself is changing.

The labour movement has risen to challenges like this in the past.

It was born out of the struggle for decent pay and conditions when new technologies were ripping up existing ways of working.

We need that same spirit and vision again.

So I’ll be convening a summit next month of unions, the self-employed, and small businesses to develop Labour’s policy on self-employment.

We want to win the widest possible support for a radical, Labour vision of how to adapt to a changing world.

We are the party of workers and small businesses.

That is the message we should carry to every part of the country.

And
we face a government of the giant corporations and tax avoiders.

This
week's Budget made that only too clear where their priorities lie.

Labour
will make different choices when we return to government.

For
now, we'll keep the pressure up on the Tories.

Theresa
May has already had to buckle – the tax hike will now not be enacted until
the
Autumn.

But
the Chancellor was silent on the greatest challenge facing this country.

The
word "Brexit" never passed his lips once during the speech.

As
Britain prepares to begin the process of leaving the European Union, the
Chancellor had nothing to say on the matter.

He
kept silent because he does not agree with the position of his own
government.

The
Prime Minister claims no deal is better than a bad deal.

But
this is absurd – no deal would be the worst possible deal.

The
Chancellor knows very well that this is the case.

He's
been warned about it from all sides.

Crashing
out of the EU means we will be cut off from investment.

We
will be cut off from our biggest trading partner.

We

will be cut off from the skills and contribution that EU nationals have made to our economy and society.

The government has yet to even offer guarantees for those EU migrants already living and working here.

It was Labour's amendment in the Lords that demanded the government offer a guarantee.

We defeated the government then and we will be fighting the case on Monday.

It is essential these guarantees are given to the three million people from the EU now living and working here.

Instead this government seems to think they can treat people as bargaining chips – apparently forgetting that 1.5 million UK citizens live and work in the rest of the EU.

The Brexit vote creates huge challenges for all of us.

It has brought some of the fault-lines in our society to the fore.

It's forcing us to think about what kind of society we want to live in.

Because the status quo is no longer an option.

But the challenge now for all parties, including the Labour Party, is to present an alternative.

The Tories have already offered theirs.

It is a dystopian vision of Britain as a bargain basement tax haven off the shores

of Europe.

Of
poverty pay and misery for the majority – but a fabulously wealthy elite at
the
top.

I
do not believe that those who voted Leave voted for this –

Quite
the opposite, many believed that our public services would get more
resources,
not fewer.

The
hardliners are pushing for this.

They
want Brexit to be the culmination of decades of free market dogma.

Of
the belief that the only relationships that matter are market relationships.

And
that if you just let markets rip, and let the wealthy do as they please,
wealth
will trickle down.

It's
the belief that the institutions we rely and the relationships we build are
barriers to efficiency.

And
that if we leave everything to the market alone, the result will be a
flourishing of entrepreneurship and growth.

Nearly
forty years since the free market experiment began, we can assess the
results.

And
they are abysmal.

We
have a society that is amongst the most unequal in the developed world.

Too
much wealth is held by too few hands.

Following
these free market prescriptions have left Britain with a crumbling
infrastructure, businesses that don't feel able to invest, and productivity

growth that has slumped.

Here

in deregulated Britain, it takes a typical worker five days to produce what a worker in regulated France or Germany can produce in four.

And

that gap is getting wider. It matters because without growth in productivity, sustained improvements in living standards will not be possible.

The

slump in productivity is the major reason we are now looking at the truly grim forecasts for real wages.

Britain

has the unique distinction of being the only large, developed economy where when growth returned after the financial crisis, real wages fell.

We

have growth. But that doesn't mean most people are any better off.

Resolution

Foundation expects real wages to not recover their 2007 level before 2022.

This

15-year period without a pay rise is unprecedented, they say, in 210 years.

For

as long as industrial capitalism has existed in Britain, we haven't seen a period like this.

It

is unprecedented. And everything the Tories are doing today is simply exacerbating the problem.

The

Budget shambles revealed this.

Nearly

a decade after the financial crisis they do not have a clue about how to deal with its consequences.

They

are continuing to pursue austerity – despite all the evidence, now clear from across the globe, that it has been a disaster for those countries that pursued it.

So
we have to now develop our alternative, and win the argument for a radically different approach.

We
need to be far bolder in our ambitions for the constitution.

I've
spoken of the possibilities of a more radically federal structure for the UK.

Not
just devolution here in Scotland, or in Wales.

But
devolution to the English regions, too.

And
a revival of local democracy everywhere.

If
they shared little else, both the independence referendum and the EU referendum revealed a healthy scepticism about centralisation.

There
is a deep and growing distrust of authority.

Powerful
institutions are seen as distant, unaccountable, and undemocratic.

Because
if we're repatriating powers from Brussels, why simply hand them back to Westminster?

And
then why stop at the existing devolved institutions?

There
is no reason why English counties and regions should not enjoy significantly greater freedoms.

Labour
won all four metro mayoral elections in England last year, and we'll be fighting for victories in this year's elections.

But
we need to go further.

It's
not just about the powers that government has.

It's
about getting wealth back into our communities.

Too many people, in too many places, have been excluded from economic growth.

That means taking a different view of how we own our societies' assets and wealth.

For too long we have automatically turned to the private sector when new opportunities arise.

Look at renewables.

Two-thirds of the UK's existing electricity generation capacity will be decommissioned by 2030.

We need to move quickly to replace it.

It's renewable generation that can deliver. The UK is uniquely well-placed to exploit the opportunity.

In Scotland you have already undergone something of a renewables revolution, which of course we should welcome.

However, when it comes to who owns and profits from renewable energy the record here is, at best, patchy. It is good that there is some support through the Cares Scheme for community projects and that many local organisations have developed local renewable schemes.

However, let's be honest – renewables in this country have become a new Klondike for big multi-nationals.

Indeed, for big projects the automatic propensity by Government is to seek private operators and private money to build and finance them; as shown by former First Minister Alex Salmond making such a big effort with the Qatari Government to sell renewable investment opportunities to them.

This is a massive missed opportunity for public ownership and control of renewable energy in Scotland.

We
could have saw surpluses reinvested back into the public sector but instead
we
have saw profit floating to various boardrooms across the world.

Other
countries in Europe are racing ahead of us in installing new, clean renewable
generation.

Places
like Denmark and Germany are doing it because they are fostering local and
co-operative ownership of renewable energy.

We
could be aiming to create thousands of co-operatives delivering renewable
energy.

Our
network of regional development banks will be charged with supplying the
finance they need to overhaul our existing energy system.

This
will sit alongside new local energy companies, supplying cheap, clean energy
to
their local areas and breaking the monopoly of the Big Six.

We'll
be giving power back to the people and letting local communities take control
of their own power supply.

We
know there are huge advantages to community ownership.

Research
shows that for every single megawatt of community-owned microhydro installed,
10 full-time equivalent jobs are created.

That
is delivering a real boost to some otherwise isolated rural communities,
securing jobs and incomes where otherwise there was little.

So
the next Labour government will create a "Right to Own".

We'll
give workers in a company facing a change of ownership first refusal on
presenting their own, worker-owned takeover plan.

Our
new regional development banks will support worker-owned businesses across
the
country.

We'll
aim to double the size of our co-operative sector, so it matches Germany or
the
US.

But
I think the same principle has to apply to our communities.

The
next Labour government will take this new approach.

It's
an approach that means bringing together those parts of our society where
co-operation and trust are fostered.

For
those on the left, that was a traditional argument for the Union.

The
UK state could be a powerful mechanism to redistribute and create a fairer
society.

That
by pooling our resources through taxation, we can make sure that those who
are
better off can support those who are not.

It
means, in theory, we can deliver the same high quality public services across
the whole country.

The
National Health Service is the embodiment of this principle.

And
by creating UK-wide institutions we tie our societies together.

The
economy is always supported and sustained by a dense web of culture and
institutions.

That's
one reason the Tories' austerity measures are so criminally destructive –

It's
not just that public services are being slowly ground into the dirt.

It's
that the fabric of social life itself starts to become frayed.

The institutions we rely on – from the local council to the health service to our schools – aren't only there to provide a service.

We're not mere consumers of education or healthcare.

How we educate our young people and how we look after those who are sick define us as a society.

They tie us together as a collective.

This is the principle behind taxation.

I raised the issue, before the Budget, of making public the tax returns of those who earn over a million pounds a year.

It's an anti-avoidance measure, inspired by the example of Norway and Sweden.

Public disclosure makes it extremely difficult for those who intent on avoiding their taxes to do so.

It's a major reason Norway has one of the lowest rates of tax avoidance in the world.

But I think it's about more than this.

It's about shifting our culture.

If you are rich – and if you earn over a million pounds a year, you are in not even the top 1%, but the top 0.1% –

If you are lucky enough to be that wealthy, and to pay the taxes that are due, your contribution to society matters.

We all want a society that is fair, and seen to be fair.

So

we in the labour movement are against the dogma from the Tories that views society as a war of all against all.

We don't believe, as Margaret Thatcher said, "that there is no such thing as society".

We don't believe that you can undermine the institutions that make up the fabric of our social life –

Whether they are the NHS, or local councils, or schools –

With year after year of cuts.

We stand for a totally different vision.

It's a vision rooted in our values.

It's a vision of a more caring society where opportunities are available to all.

"Secure people take risks," as the Cambridge economist Ha Joon Chang put it.

A caring society is one that is also able to take risks – to take on great social challenges.

Or to allow individuals to strike out, to be entrepreneurial –

To invent, and create, and challenge convention.

Those who are live in fear of unemployment.

Or of what will happen if they grow sick.

Or how they will educate their children.

Or

what will happen when they grow old.

People
who are consumed by these fears – all understandable – will not take those risks.

This
used to be the privilege of great wealth –

That
only those with the wealth to do so can afford to innovate.

But
we don't have to run a society like this.

Technology
has hugely reduced the barriers against those seeking to establish new businesses.

We
have built strong institutions that foster co-operation.

And
new technology lets us build new ways of working together, like Platform Co-operatives.

This
is the immense, shared wealth that our society has built up.

If
we are to face Brexit with confidence, it is the wealth that we must draw on.

We
will need a radically different vision of how society can operate.

We
know the world is changing, rapidly –

The
balance of power and wealth is shifting.

Factory
wages in China now match those in Greece, and are approaching those in Portugal.

Our
country's position in the world is changing.

We
can't rely on a single sector to drag the whole economy along – as we relied on
financial services too heavily before the crash.

And
we can't think again that concentrating resources and wealth in a few hands
in
a single city is good for the rest of us.

It
can't be right that London gets half of all the transport investment in the
country.

And
unlike the SNP vision that was for a prosperity built on low taxes for big
business, and an over reliance of oil and bank profits, and austerity for
everyone else.

We
must build new institutions, and spread the wealth of our society more
fairly.

We'll
bring in a £10 an hour Real Living Wage, so work always pays properly.

We'll
deliver investment in jobs and skills across the whole country.

Our
National Investment Bank and network of regional banks will support
prosperity
in every region and nation.

I
think we can look to our neighbours and see how our society has a huge
potential that can be brought out.

We
could look to countries like Denmark, Sweden and Norway.

For
example, look at Norway where the best public services in the world are
matched
by the highest wages.

Across
these nations you see that measures such as tax transparency at the top,
affordable child care, and greater equality are the accepted norm.

We
don't have to settle for second-rate.

We
can radically decentralise this country –

Put
power back in the hands of workers, small businesses and communities.

Bring
the investment needed to redistribute wealth across every part of this
island.

Labour
would seek a prosperity based on solidarity and fellowship between the
nations
of these isles, and those who share our values in Europe.

We
will be part of a new Arc of Prosperity – a radically fairer, more equal, and
more prosperous society.